arXiv:1406.5674v1 [quant-ph] 22 Jun 2014

Waiting for the Quantum Bus

A.J. Bracken * and G.F. Melloy
School of of Mathematics and Physics
The University of Queensland
Brisbane, Australia 4072

Abstract

Forty-five years after the discovery of the peculiar quantum effect known as 'probability backflow', and twenty years after the greatest possible size of the effect was characterized, an experiment has been proposed recently to observe the effect in a Bose-Einstein condensate. Here the history is described in non-technical terms.

Email: a.bracken@uq.edu.au

Einstein's conviction that "God does not play at dice with the universe" is now generally seen as misguided. The quantum world, unlike our everyday classical world, is inherently probabilitistic in nature. But it may yet surprise the reader to learn that even in regard to probability itself, the quantum and classical worlds do not always behave in the same way.

Forty-five years ago, British physicist G R Allcock published three papers [1], since widely-cited, on the so-called 'arrival-time' problem in quantum mechanics. This is the problem of determining the probability distribution over *times* at which a quantum particle may be observed at a given *position*. It is converse to a problem that quantum mechanics deals with routinely, namely to predict the probability distribution over *positions* at which a quantum particle may be observed at a given *time*. The difference between the two looks so subtle as to be insignificant, but the arrival-time problem is by no means as straightforward as its counterpart, and it has led to continuing argument and research over many years [2, 3, 4].

In his studies of the arrival-time problem, Allcock noted a very peculiar quantum effect that has come to be known as 'quantum probability backflow'. The effect has provoked continuing interest in its own right [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], leading to a recent proposal to observe it directly by manipulating ultracold atoms in a Bose-Einstein condensate [10].

To appreciate the strangeness of quantum probability backflow, consider a comparable everyday phenomenon. Imagine a long straight road running past your front door, from far on your left to far on your right — think of it as the X-axis, with your house located at position $x = 0$. You have been reliably informed that there is a bus somewhere on the road, possibly to your left, or possibly already to your right, but definitely travelling in a left-to-right direction. Moreover, the speed of the bus is definitely constant.

Your informants were somewhat uncertain as to just what that speed is, but they were able to suggest a distribution of probabilities over possible left-to-right velocity values. Fig. 1 provides an example, where the velocity scale is miles per hour. In this example, the bus is most likely travelling from left to right at about 20 miles per hour.

Your informants were also not quite sure where the bus was located on the road at the time they spoke to you, but they were able to suggest another distribution of probabilities, over possible positions of the bus along the X-axis at that initial time. Fig. 2 shows an example, where the distance scale is miles. In this example there are matching probability distributions to your left and right, and so a 50-50 chance that the bus is still approaching at the initial time, and that it is about 2 miles away.

You wait by the road in the hope of flagging down the bus if and when it reaches you from the left. The longer you wait, the more doubtful you

become that the bus is still on its way. Common sense tells you that the probability of the bus being somewhere to your left is not increasing as time passes, given that it is travelling from left to right.

Supporting your intuition, Fig. 3 shows distributions of probability over positions of the classical bus at successive times, starting from the assumed initial distribution as in Fig. 2, and assuming a distribution of velocities as in Fig. 1. The probability flows steadily towards the right, in the same direction as the velocity of the bus. This is confirmed in Fig. 4, which shows the probability that the bus is still on its way from your left, starting from the value 0.5, or 50%, and decreasing steadily towards zero.

Waiting for a 'quantum bus' is different. To this end, consider a free particle — with a mass near that of an oxygen atom, say — travelling along the X-axis, and subject to the laws of non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Such a quantum bus has a wave function satisfying Schrödinger's equation. This wave function can be chosen so that the distributions of probabilities over possible velocities and initial positions are again as in Figs. 1 and 2, where now distances are measured in tens of microns, and velocities in tens of microns per second.

More generally, all wave functions can be considered for which the quantum bus, like the classical one, has a (constant) velocity that is definitely directed from left to right, with uncertain magnitude, and an initial position that is also uncertain.

While these conditions seem quite analogous to those of the classical bus, something completely counter-intuitive can happen in the quantum case.

Despite the fact that the bus is definitely travelling from left to right, the probability of finding it to your left on measuring its position, may increase as the time of measurement increases. Because the bus is certainly somewhere on the line at all times, the probability of finding that its position lies to your right must decrease accordingly during this same time interval. In other words, probability must flow backwards across position $x = 0$, in the opposite direction to the velocity of the bus, even though there is no apparent force acting to 'push the probability backwards'.

Fig. 5 shows possible probability distributions over positions of the quantum bus at successive times, arising from a choice of initial wave function consistent with the probability distributions shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The curves again show movement of the probability distribution from left to right, and are not greatly dissimilar to those shown for the classical bus in Fig. 3. What is more, the graph in Fig. 6, showing the changing value of the probability that the quantum bus is still to your left, does not appear much different from its classical counterpart in Fig. 4. It is easy to overlook in Fig. 6 the rise and then fall of the probability value at very early times, up

to about 0.002 seconds.

If we look more closely at what happens at these early times, we can see the striking difference between the classical and quantum cases. Fig. 7 shows plots of the probability distribution over positions of the classical bus, at times 0 (in blue) and 0.002 hours (in red). The probability flows from left to right over this time interval, just as it does at later times, and this is confirmed in Fig. 8, which shows the changing value of the probability that the classical bus is still to your left. Again, it decreases steadily.

Figs. 9 and 10 show the analogous results for the quantum bus. While the successive curves in Fig. 9 are again *suggestive* of movement to the right during the time interval of length 0.002 seconds, Fig. 10 shows that the probability that the position of the bus will be found on your left, actually increases during this period, from the initial value of 0.5 to a value of about 0.508, before starting to decline. In other words, while there is a 50% probability initially that the position of the bus will be found on your left, this has increased to about 50.8% after about 0.002 seconds.

For each curve like those in Figs. 5 and 9, the area under the curve to the left of position 0 gives the probability that the position of the quantum bus will be found on your left at the corresponding time, while the area under the curve to the right gives the probability that it will be found on your right. And for each curve, the sum of these two areas equals one.

For the blue curve in Fig. 9, these areas are each equal to 0.5. For the red curve, however, the area to the left equals about 0.508, and so is greater than the area to the right, which equals about 0.492. This is despite the appearance given by the red curve of motion to the right from the blue curve. The apparent motion to the right is more than compensated for by a broadening of the left hand peak, and a narrowing of the right hand one.

From Allcock's work it is clear that probability backflow is an interference effect, made possible because a quantum particle, unlike a classical bus, also has wave-like properties. The appearance of mathematically similar 'retro-propagation' effects is not uncommon in classical wave theories [11]. The surprise in the quantum context comes not so much from unusual mathematics, as from the fact that it is probability that flows backwards in this case, counter to our classical intuition.

The probability distributions over initial positions and velocities can be chosen independently for a classical bus, whereas for a quantum particle, they are tightly related, being determined from the wave function and its Fourier transform. This relationship involves Planck's constant h and, as time evolves, also the mass of the particle, and it is this same relationship between wave function and Fourier transform that gives rise to that most profound of all quantum effects, Heisenberg's uncertainty principle.

It is not hard to appreciate the relevance of probability backflow to the arrival-time problem that was primarily of interest to Allcock forty-five years ago. For if the probability of finding a right-moving particle to the left of a given point can increase or decrease with time, the very notion of the probable time of its arrival at that point, from the left say, may become problematic.

In an attempt to raise interest in the backflow effect itself, and to quantify it, two aspects were considered by us some twenty-five years after Allcock's work [5]. The first aspect concerns the possible duration of the effect. It is clear enough, and easily proved, that the probability of finding the position of the quantum bus to the left of a given point such as $x = 0$, must approach zero for sufficiently long times, while the probability of finding it to the right of that point must approach one, just as in the case of the classical bus. We are then led to ask, for how long can the quantum probability flow backwards past a given point?

The answer to this question is simple, if surprising. Backflow can occur over any finite time-interval, no matter how long. For once a quantum state is found that produces the effect over a time-interval of given duration, another state can be constructed that produces the same amount of probability backflow over an interval twice as long, say, by a simple scaling process.

The second and more interesting question is to ask: What is the greatest amount of probability — call this amount P, say — that can flow 'backwards' over a given time-interval? The length of the time interval is immaterial, by the scaling argument just mentioned. This second question leads to a well-defined mathematical problem, but one that has, to date, defied exact solution. Our estimate of P, obtained by treating the problem numerically, was about 0.04, or about 4% of the total probability on the line. Subsequent numerical studies [7, 8] have found the more precise estimate 0.0384517.... This is about 5 times greater than the amount of backflow in the example treated above.

The number P appears as an eigenvalue in the mathematical problem that arises, and the corresponding eigenfunction defines the wave function of the quantum state that gives rise to the greatest backflow value. While eigenvalue problems commonly arise for observable quantities in quantum mechanics, leading to quantum numbers such as the energy levels of an atom, for example, the eigenvalue P is quite unusual.

It is a pure (dimensionless) number. Furthermore, its value is not only independent of the length of the time-interval over which probability backflow occurs, as already noted, but is also independent of the mass of the bus and, more surprisingly, of the value of Planck's constant h, which typically characterizes quantum effects. In an imaginary world where h had a value many times larger or many times smaller than the one we are familiar with

in our world, the maximum possible probability backflow for a free quantum particle would still be the same, namely 0.0384517... .

A striking interpretation of probability backflow can be given if we introduce negative probabilities into the quantum description. While such a notion may seem absurd, the utility of this radical concept for the conceptualisation of other aspects of quantum mechanics has been argued long ago in other contexts by Dirac [12] and Feynman [13]. The idea of negative probabilities seems less absurd when we recall how useful a related notion has become in everyday life — the notion of negative numbers. No-one ever saw a negative amount of money, for example, but there is a simplifying conceptual advantage in regarding withdrawals from your bank account as negative deposits when calculating the balance remaining after all transactions are considered. Provided that your final balance is not negative, your bank manager will not complain that you used unphysical negative numbers to help you keep track.

To see the relevance of negative probabilities in the present context, reconsider firstly the classical bus. Rather than distinct probability distributions over initial positions and velocities, we can consider a single distribution over both. For the classical state illustrated in Figs 1 and 2, a 3D plot of such a joint distribution could look initially as in Fig. 11.

Note that the distribution is confined to the upper half of the XV-plane because the bus definitely has a positive velocity. Fig. 12 shows a contour plot of the initial distribution. The probability that the bus is initially to the right of $x = 0$ is now given by the total probability distributed on the right quadrant of Fig.12, while the probability that the bus is to the left of $x = 0$, and so yet to arrive at $x = 0$, is given by the total probability distributed on the left quadrant. The sum of these two probabilities equals 1.

As time passes, the distribution on the upper half of the XV- plane evolves by shearing to the right, as shown in Fig. 12, leading after some time to Fig 13. All the probability in the wedge shown in Fig. 12, bounded by the red line and the black line, flows across the black line $x = 0$ during this time interval, replacing the probability in the wedge bounded by the black line and blue line, which moves further right, as shown in Fig. 13. In this way the probability in the right quadrant increases while the probability in the left quadrant decreases by the same amount.

For the quantum bus, the closest analogue of a joint distribution of position and velocity probabilities is given by a construct from the wave function due to Wigner [14]. For the quantum state giving rise to Figs. 1 and 4, the 3D plot of this Wigner function, so-called, might look initially as shown in Fig. 14.

Figs. 14, 15 and 16 to go near here.

The Wigner function is not everywhere positive, unlike its classical counterpart in Fig. 11. Negative values appear in dark blue in the example shown in Fig. 14. For the same example, Figs. 15 and 16 show contour plots of the initial distribution and the distribution at a later time, with regions of negative values again shown in dark blue.

Despite the appearance of negative values, the Wigner function does share important properties with the classical distribution. Thus, in the present context, it vanishes for negative velocities. Furthermore, the total of its values in the right quadrant equals the probability that the bus is to the right of $x = 0$, the total of its values in the left quadrant equals the probability that the particle is to the left of $x = 0$, and the sum of these two equals 1. We may say that the Wigner function defines a quasi-probability distribution, taking both positive and negative probability values.

What is most important for the discussion of probability backflow is that, as time passes, the Wigner function evolves in just the same way as the classical distribution, by shearing to the right, as indicated by Figs. 15 and 16. In the quantum case, however, the total amount of probability on a wedge like that between the red and black lines in Fig. 15 can be negative. When this negative probability flows to the right quadrant, arriving between the black and blue lines after some time, the probability on the right quadrant quadrant decreases, and the probability on the left quadrant increases by the same amount.

We may say that all the probability moves to the right with the motion of the quantum bus, just as in the classical case, but now not all that probability is positive. Negative probability moving to the right has the same effect on the total probabilities in the left and right quadrants as positive probability moving to the left, thus giving rise to the backflow phenomenon. Note that the greatest possible negative value of the total probability on any wedge like the one on the left in Fig. 15, evaluated over all quantum states with positive left-to-right velocities, equals the number $-P$. For the example given here, it has the value $-0.008...$, only about 20% of the maximum possible.

The value of P evidently reflects the structure of the quantum description of a free particle, in terms of a wave function satisfying Schrödinger's equation, rather than the values of the only constants appearing in that description, namely the mass of the particle and Planck's constant. Experimental observation of the value of P would therefore provide some novel verification of this general mathematical framework.

Successful observation of probability backflow in experiments, such as that proposed by Palmero *et al.*, would confirm Allcock's insight from forty-five years ago. But a further challenge to experimenters would remain — to measure the mysterious quantity P and verify that it has the value $0.0384517...$

predicted by quantum mechanics.

References

[1] G R Allcock 1969 *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **53** 253, 286, 311.

[2] J Kijowski 1974 *Rep. Math. Phys.* **6** 361.

[3] Y Aharonov *et al.* 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **57** 4130.

[4] J G Muga and C R Leavens 2000 *Phys. Rep.* **338** 353.

[5] A J Bracken and G F Melloy 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 2197.

[6] G F Melloy and A J Bracken 1998 *Ann Phys* (Leipzig) **7** 726773.

[7] M Penz *et al.* 2006 *J Phys A: Math Gen* **39** 423.

[8] J M Yearsley *et al.* 2012 *Phys. Rev. A* **86** 042116.

[9] M A Nielsen 2010 *An Underappreciated Gem: Probability Backflow* http://michaelnielsen.org/blog

[10] M Palmero *et al.* 2013 *Phys. Rev. A* **87** 053618.

[11] M V Berry 2010 *J Phys A: Math Theoret* **43** 415302.

[12] P A M Dirac 1942 *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A* **180** 1.

[13] R P Feynman 1987 in Peat F D and B Hiley B (Eds) *Quantum Implications: Essays in Honour of David Bohm* pp 235-248 (Routledge and Kegan Paul, London).

[14] E P Wigner 1932 *Phys. Rev.* **40** 749.



Figure 1: Distribution of probability over velocities in miles per hour/tens of microns per second of the classical/quantum bus.



Figure 2: Distribution of probability over initial positions in miles/tens of microns of the classical/quantum bus.



Figure 3: Distributions of probability over positions of the classical bus. From left to right, at times 0 (blue), 0.05 (red), 0.0875 (green), 0.125 (magenta), 0.25 (cyan) and 0.375 (black) hours.



Figure 4: Probability that the classical bus is to the left of $x = 0$, versus time in hours.



Figure 5: Distribution of probability over positions of the quantum bus. From left to right, at times 0 (blue), 0.05 (red), 0.0875 (green), 0.125 (magenta), 0.25 (cyan) and 0.375 (black) seconds.



Figure 6: Probability that the position of the quantum bus is to the left of $x = 0$, versus time in seconds.



Figure 7: Distributions of probability over positions of the classical bus at times 0 (blue) and 0.002 (red) hours.



Figure 8: Probability that the classical bus is to the left of $x = 0$, versus time in hours.



Figure 9: Distribution of probability over positions of the quantum bus at times 0 (blue) and 0.002 (red) seconds.



Figure 10: Probability that the quantum bus is to the left of $x = 0$, versus time in seconds.



Figure 11: Distribution of probability over velocities and initial positions of the classical bus: 3D plot.



Figure 12: Distribution of probability over velocities and initial positions of the classical bus: contour plot.



Figure 13: Distribution of probability over velocities and positions of the classical bus at a later time: contour plot.



Figure 14: Distribution of quasi-probability over velocities and initial positions of the quantum bus: 3D plot.



Figure 15: Distribution of quasi-probability over velocities and initial positions of the quantum bus: contour plot.



Figure 16: Distribution of quasi-probability over velocities and positions of the quantum bus after 0.05 seconds: contour plot.